



LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 11/09/1999 80398.P215 7843 09/437,345 BRANT L. CANDELORE **EXAMINER** 7590 07/13/2004 JEFFREY S SMITH FIELDS, COURTNEY D BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 7TH FLOOR 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 2137

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/437,345	CANDELORE, BRANT L.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Courtney D. Fields	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Therefore, further action final rejection under 37 (condition for allowance;	May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH by the applicant is required to a CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (' (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe ompliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicable to the supplication of the supplication with the supplication of the s	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
b) The period for reply event, however, will to ONLY CHECK THIS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be have been filed is the date for put 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from	om: (1) the expiration date of the shortened received by the Office later than three mo	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE term on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:				
(a) I they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) they are not dissues for app		in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present NOTE:	additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
	∑ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.			
	☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected	Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-58</u> .			
Claim(s) withdraw	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
3.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. Other:			Mothew Bandher	
	REST AVAII	ARI E COPY	MATTHEW SMITHERS	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PRIMARY EXAMINER Aut Unit 2137

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: After further review of the prior art (Wasilewski et al.), the Examiner believes the reference does teach storing entitlement information and the program instance in Column 4, lines 17-66 and Column 16, lines 3-11. Upon further consideration of the combination of (Muratani et al. and Wasilewski et al.), the Examiner believes the combination does suggest rescrambling (second scrambling) digital content into a second format using the second output and outputting the descrambled digital content using another output in Column 5, lines 9-67, Column 6, lines 1-62 and Figures 2 and 3.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY